



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/055,645	01/22/2002	Bernard A. Traversat	5181-82104	9627				
58467 MHKKG/SUN P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767	7590 10/17/2008		<table border="1"><tr><td colspan="2">EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">LUU, LE HIEN</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER		LUU, LE HIEN	
EXAMINER								
LUU, LE HIEN								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">2441</td></tr></table>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2441		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
2441								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>10/17/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	10/17/2008	PAPER	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
10/17/2008	PAPER							

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

*Ex parte* BERNARD A. TRAVERSAT, GREGORY L. SLAUGHTER, THOMAS  
E. SAULPAUGH, MOHAMED M. ABDELAZIZ, MICHAEL J. DUIGOU, ERIC  
POUYOUL, JEAN-CHRISTOPHE HUGLY, LI GONG, WILLIAM J. YEAGER,  
WILLIAM N. JOY, MICHAEL J. CLARY

---

Application No. 10/055,645  
Technology Center 2100

---

Mailed: October 17, 2008

---

Before KRISTA ZELE, *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*  
ZELE, *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on September 9, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the

Application No. 10/055,645

application is herewith being returned to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is identified below.

#### EXAMINER'S ANSWER, MISSING SIGNATURES

An appeal conference is mandatory in all cases in which an acceptable appeal brief has been filed and a determination to move forward on appeal has been made. The participants of the appeal conference should include (1) the examiner charged with preparation of the examiner's answer, (2) a supervisory patent examiner (SPE), and (3) another examiner, known as a conferee, having sufficient experience to be of assistance in the consideration of the merits of the issues on appeal. On the examiner's answer, below the primary examiner's signature, the word "Conferees:" should be included, followed by the typed or printed names of the other two appeal conference participants. These two appeal conference participants must place their initials next to their name. The answer must also include the signature of a TC Director or designee to indicate that he or she approves any new grounds of rejection, if presented.

A review of the file finds that the examiner's answer mailed October 2, 2007 is missing either at least one typed/ printed name of the appeal

Application No. 10/055,645

conference participants and/or is missing at least one initial or signature to make the record clear the appeal conference was held in accordance with MPEP § 1207.01. Therefore, correction is required.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to obtain the necessary conferee signatures and/or TC Director signature (if necessary); and
- 2) for such further action as may be required.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/tdl

MHKKG/SUN  
P.O. BOX 398  
AUSTIN TX 78767